

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

OFE 40		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
JUN 2 5 2007	10/048,094	FRANKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
THE PROPERTY.	Ronnie Mancho	3663
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE = Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 De	ecember 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	,
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	, ,	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or are continue copies not receive	
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	, (PTO_413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/9/02</u> .	o) [_] Outer:	

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claims 5-9, the applicant recites, "power optimization", but does not teach one skilled in the art how "Power optimization" is done. The applicant simply copies the phrase from the specification and stamps it in the claims.

Further, in claims 5&8, the phrase "a penalty term in the optimization, the use of time reserve penalized less the closer the vehicle is to the destination" is not enabled. Applicant does not provide an example of what is meant by "penalty" or "penalized less" in reference to "optimization". How does one determine "penalty", "penalized less", etc?

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim, 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3663

In claim 5, it is not clear what all is meant and encompassed by the term, "power optimization". Applicant does not show how "power optimization" is done. Therefore, the limitation is indefinite. The rejection applies to the rest of the claims.

In claims 5&8, "the travel mode of the vehicle" lacks antecedent basis. It is not also understood what is meant and encompassed by "the travel mode of the vehicle".

In claim 7, it is not clear what all is meant and encompassed by "latest time", "earliest time". How late is late or how early is early?

Claims 6, 7, 9 have improper dependency.

The rest of the claims are rejected for depending on a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 3663

6. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being by Desai et al (5862509).

Regarding claim 5, Desai et al (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67) disclose a method for power optimization in a vehicle traveling over a route between a start point and a destination, the route being subdivided into a plurality of sections (figs. 1-5), the method comprising:

allocating a time reserve for the route (col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67); assigning a portion of the time reserve to each section col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67);

adding unused portions of the time reserve proportionally to the remaining route sections as the vehicle travels over the route (i.e. the time is recalculated en route; col. 2, lines 23-41); and

optimizing the travel mode of the vehicle wherein the time reserves granted to the individual route sections are taken into account as a penalty term (i.e. cost; see col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67; col. 7, lines 60 to col. 8, line 40) in the optimization, the use of time reserve penalized less the closer the vehicle is to the destination (i.e. in a traffic jam, a car uses more fuel as it sits in traffic hence increasing cost when car is farther away from its destination than when the car is near its destination; col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 6, Desai et al (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67) disclose the method of claim 5, wherein each section of the route is assigned a latest time for passage of the vehicle through the section and the latest times are included as boundary conditions in the optimization.

Regarding claim 7, Desai et al (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67) disclose the method of claim 5, wherein each section of the route is assigned an

Art Unit: 3663

earliest and a latest time for passage of the vehicle through the section and the earliest and latest times are included as boundary conditions in the optimization (col. 7&8).

Regarding claim 8, Desai et al (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67) disclose a method for power optimization in a vehicle traveling over a route between a start point and a destination, the route being subdivided into a plurality of sections, the method comprising:

allocating a time reserve for the route; assigning a portion of the time reserve to each section; assigning a latest time for passage of the vehicle through each section (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67);

adding unused portions of the time reserve proportionally to the remaining route sections as the vehicle travels over the route (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67); and

optimizing the travel mode of the vehicle wherein the latest times are included as boundary conditions in the optimization (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 9, Desai et al (abstract, col. 2, lines 23-41, col. 3, lines 24-65; col. 4, lines 1-67) disclose the method of claim 8, further comprising assigning an earliest time for passage of the vehicle through each section and wherein the earliest times are included as boundary conditions in the optimization

Art Unit: 3663

Communincation

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571-272-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronnie Mancho Examiner Art Unit 3663

4/28/07

THOMAS BLACK
THOMA

JUN 2 5 2007

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

and to a collection of information urtless it contains a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, n Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449A/PTO **Application Number** 10/048,094 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE Filing Date STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Rudiger FRANKE **Group Art Unit** (use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Attorney Docket Number 01013.0015.PCUS00 Sheet of

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials *	Cite No.¹	U.S. Patent Docum Kind Cod Number (if known	de²	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
7RM	Α	5,239,472		Long et al	08.24.1993	

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
	O14-	For	eign Patert Docume	nt	Name of Patentee or	Date of Publication	Pages, Columns, Lines,	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Office ³	Number ⁴	d Code ⁵ <i>known</i>)	Applicant of Cited Document	of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T _o
/RM/	В	EP	0 467 377	B1	Hitachi Ltd.	01.22.1992		
/RM/	С	DE	197 26 542	A1	Schwanhauser	11.19.1998		
/RM/	D	DD	255 132	A1	Zentrales Forschungs- institut des Verkehrswesens	03.23.1988		
	E	DE	30 26 652	A1	Siemens AG	02.11.1982		
/RM/	F	DD	208 324		Grosse et al	05.02.1984		
/RM/	G	DD	129 761		Hom et al	02.08.1978		
/RM/	н	DD	236 705	A1	Zentrales Forschungs- institut des Verkehrswesens	06.18.1986		
/RM	И 1	DD	262 836	A1	Zentrales Forschungs- institut des Verkehrswesens	12.14.1988		

Examiner Signature	/Ronnie Mancho/ (04/28/2007)	Date Considered	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

of

Sheet

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 Int and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449A/PTO **Application Number** 10/048.094 Filing Date INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Rudiger FRANKE First Named Inventor **Group Art Unit Examiner Name** (use as many sheets as necessary) 01013.0015.PCUS00 Attorney Docket Number

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
		U.S. Pa	tent Document	Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where
Examiner Initials *	Cite No. ¹	Number	Kind Code ² (<i>if known</i>)	of Cited Document	of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
						/
		1				

			F	OREIGN	PATENT DOCUM	ENTS		
		For	Foreign Patent Document		Name of Patentee or	Date of Publication	Pages, Columns, Lines,	
Examiner tnitials*	Cite No. ¹	Office ³	Number*	Kind Code ⁵	Applicant of Cited Document	of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	Т,
/RM	J J	DD	266 539	A1	Zentrales Forschungs- institut des Verkehrswesens	04.05.1989		
							7	
							7	
		 						
		 						
		<u> </u>						
		<u> </u>						
		 					/	

Examiner Signature	/Ronnie Mancho/ (04/28/2007)	Date Considered	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark hee if English language Translation is attached.

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/048,094 Examiner Ronnie Mancho Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination FRANKE ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,862,509 A	01-1999	Desai et al.	701/209
*	В	US-5,487,516 A	01-1996	Murata et al.	246/182C
*	С	US-5,913,917 A	06-1999	Murphy, Michael D. JUN 2 5 2002	701/123
*	D	US-5,474,267 A	12-1995	Kubota et al. \2	246/182B
*	E	US-5,948,040 A	09-1999	DeLorme et al.	701/201
	F	US-		TAX DEWAY.	
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			·
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.